# Effect of integrated weed management on economics of kharif groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.)

## T.S. BHONDAVE\*1, S.S. PINJARI1 AND J.S. SURYAWANSHI1

Department of Agronomy, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, AHMEDNAGAR (M.S.) INDIA

## **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted during kharif season of 2006 at Agronomy farm, College of Agriculture, Pune. (M.S.) to study the economics of different weed control practices in kharif groundnut. The results indicated that pre-emergence application of Pendimethalin @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha-1 supplemented with hoeing at 25 DAS was economically superior for weed control and was followed by hoeing at 15 DAS plus hand weeding at 25 DAS and Pendimethalin (PE @ 1.0 kg a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>). Pre-emergence application of Pendimethalin @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> supplemented with hoeing at 25 DAS was found cheaper and most effective in controlling weeds in kharif groundnut in clayey textured and slightly alkaline soils under Pune region.

**Key words:** Groundnut, Weeds, Pendimethalin, Pre-emergence

#### Introduction

Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is a unique and important legume oil seed crop of India. Commercially and nutritionally it is very important source of oil (49 %) and protein (26 %). Groundnut kernels are rich in vitamins viz., A, B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>, even though the India is the third largest producer of edible oil, per capita consumption of oil (6.6 kg) and productivity of groundnut (1042 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) are very low (Anonymous, 1990). In low productivity of groundnut, weeds account for 45 per cent (Rao, 1983). The yields are reduced by 70 per cent if cover by weeds is more than 50 per cent (Prasad, 2002). Though, physical methods of weed control are very effective, they have certain limitations such as unavailability of labour during peak period, high labour cost and unfavourable environment. Therefore, experiment was carried out to find most effective and cheaper weed control practice combined with herbicide for harnessing the economic yield of kharif groundnut.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment entitled "Effect of integrated weed management on economics of kharif groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.)" was conducted during 2006 at Agronomy farm, College of Agriculture, Pune (MS). The soil was clayey in texture and slightly alkaline with low available nitrogen (174.78 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), slightly high available phosphorus (22.47 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and very high available potassium (392.56 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with nine treatments replicated thrice. The gross and net plot size were 4.20 x 3.60 m<sup>2</sup> and 3.60 x 3.00 m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Sowing of groundnut was done by dibbling two kernels of variety TG-26 at 30 x 10 cm spacing using seed rate 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. All the recommended management practices were followed. Pre-emergence application of Pendimethalin was done day after sowing and post emergence application of Quizalofop-p-ethyl and Imazethapyr herbicides were done at 15 days after sowing. After harvest of crop, all the weeds from net plot were removed, oven dried and dry weight was recorded separately as per the treatments. Weed control efficiency of each treatment was calculated by using formula given by Gautam et al. (1975).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The major weed flora of monocot weeds like Cyprus rotundas, Cynodon dactylon, Eschaemum pilosum, Commelina bengalensis, Brachiara cruciformis, and dicot weeds like Digera arvensis, Parthenium hyterophorus, Acalypha indica, Phyllanthus niruri, Lactuca runciata, Euphorbia geneculata, Euphorbia thymifolia, Solanum nigrum, Acacia spp. Argemone mexicana, Tridax procumbens and Euphorbia hypercifolia were observed. Weed control efficiency in weed free check was 97.40 per cent which was followed by hoeing at 15 DAS plus hand weeding at 25 DAS and Pendimethalin (PE @ 0.75 kg a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>) plus hoeing at 25 DAS with 75.02 and 73.26 per cent weed control efficiency, respectively. Similar trend was also observed by Attarde et al. (2001). Dry matter of weed was highest (29.95 q ha-1) in weedy check and was significantly more than rest of the treatments. Cummulative weed dry matter at harvest was significantly less (0.20 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) in weed free check and was at par with hoeing at 15 DAS plus hand

<sup>\*</sup> Author for correspondence. 1 Agritech School, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, DHULE (M.S.)